IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

Crl.M.No.70580-M of 2006.
Date of Decision: 19.01.2007.

Hanuman and another

..... Petitioners.

Versus

State of Haryana.

.....Respondent.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SATISH KUMAR MITTAL.

Present:- Mr.AS Sullar,Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr.Partap Singh,Sr.DAG Haryana.

*****

Petitioners Hanuman and Rohtas apprehending their arrest in a non-bailable offence in case FIR No.162 dated 11.10.2006 under Sections 323,324,325,326,34 IPC, registered at PS Odhan, District Sirsa have filed this petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for the grant of anticipatory bail.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the contents of the FIR.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that in a cross version case petitioner no.1 received five injuries and petitioner no.2 received four injuries. Counsel further contends that the complainant side is aggressor and in a cross case the petitioners have been falsely implicated. It is further contended that in terms of the interim order dated 13.11.2006, petitioners have joined the investigation. The State counsel does not dispute this fact.

In view of the above, without commenting on the merits, the interim bail granted vide order dated 13.11.2006, is made absolute, subject to the same terms and conditions.

19.1.2007. **(SATISH KUMAR MITTAL)**
rpj **JUDGE**